IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 240 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Subrat Singh Dharamwal,
   S/o Sri Puran Singh Dharamwal.
2. Puran Singh Dharawmal
   S/o Late Khim Singh Dharamwal,
3. Smt. Chitra Dharamwal,
   w/o Puran Singh Dharamwal,
   all R/o 435 P Sector to 14,
   Gurgaon, Haryana. …….. Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
2. Senior Superintendent of Police,
   Nainital.
3. Mandakni Shah Dharamwal,
   R/o 2-34 Girish Niwas,
   Bhitia Parao, Haldwani,
   Nainital. …….. Respondents

Mr. Ajay Singh Bisht, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Arvind Vashishth, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. Ajay Singh Bisht, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Arvind Vashishth, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Mr. D.K. Sharma, the learned Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 and 2 submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them has, now, becom infructuous, as the

Police, after the completion of the investigation, has filed charge sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Magistrate.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. With the above order, CLMA No. 6335 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**

25.05.2006 **25.05.2006**

G